

Budget Work Session Fire Assessment Update

June 7, 2016

Purpose



To provide the Board with the results of the Fire Assessment Study



- Overview
- Fire Assessment Study
- Vacant Land Analysis
- Staff Recommended Rates
- Next Steps
- Recommended Action



Overview

Overview



- Fire Assessment is used to fund fire protection services, such as fire suppression, fire prevention, fire building inspections, and first response medical services (BLS)
- Cannot fund Advanced Life Support (ALS) services
- The Fire Assessment Study looks at the utilization of fire services in 5 land use categories
- Assessment rates are calculated based on the allocation of resources and budget
- Tindale Oliver and Associates, Inc. has been tasked with providing the study



Fire Assessment Study

Lake County Fire Assessment Update Study



June 7, 2016









Presentation Overview

- 1 Background/Purpose
- 2 Findings of Technical Study





Background

- Last updated in 2015
 - 2008-14 incident data
 - FY 2015 adopted budget
- County retained Tindale Oliver to conduct an annual update



Purpose

Results of Technical Analysis





Presentation Overview

- 1 Background/Purpose
- 2 Findings of Technical Study





> Conclusions

- Stable Assessment Factor (% Non-ALS)
- ullet Increase in Maximum Assessable Budget (14%)
- Slight change in incident/resource distribution
- Stable Property Units by Land Use
- Calculated maximum rates for all land uses
 - Highest increase in institutional & industrial
- Reduced assessment option > Staff Recommended



- Calculation Components
 - 1) Distribution of Incidents
 - ALS vs. Non-ALS
 - By Land Use
 - 2) Assessable Budget
 - 3) Property Units by Land Use
 - 4) Calculated Rates



| Variable | Change from Last Study |
|------------------------------|---|
| Distribution of Incide | nts: |
| - ALS vs. Non-ALS | Stable |
| - By Land Use | Slight Shift from Residential to Non- Residential |
| Maximum Budget | Increase (+14%) |
| Property Units | Stable |
| Calculated Rates: | |
| - Residential & Transient | Increase (+11% to +17%) |
| - Non-Residential | Increase (+15% to +25%) |



Distribution of Incidents

| Criteria | Avg. of 2008-14 | | Avg. of 2008-15 | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|---------|
| | ALS Non-ALS | | ALS | Non-ALS |
| Frequency | 20.8% | 79.2% | 21.1% | 78.9% |
| Staff Time | 20.7% | 79.3% | 21.1% | 78.9% |
| Vehicle Time | 20.6% | 79.4% | 21.1% | 78.9% |
| Total Resources | 20.7% | 79.3% | 21.1% | 78.9% |



Budget Comparison

| Description | Total Funding Requirement | % Change (From FY 2015) |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| FY 2015 Assessable Budget | \$16.9 Million | - |
| FY 2016 Maximum Assessable Budget | \$19.3 Million | +14% |



Distribution of Total Resources by Land Use

| Description | Total Resources | | | |
|----------------------|--|-------|-------|--|
| Description | Avg. of 2008- 2014 Avg. of 2008- 2015 % Change | | | |
| Residential | 84.9% | 84.3% | -0.7% | |
| Hotel/Motel/RV Park | 1.9% | 1.9% | 0.0% | |
| Commercial | 5.1% | 5.1% | 0.0% | |
| Industrial/Warehouse | 1.0% | 1.1% | 10.0% | |
| Institutional | 7.1% | 7.6% | 7.0% | |



Cost Allocation (Maximum Assessable Budget)

| Description | FY 2015 Assessable Budget | FY 2016 Maximum Assessable Budget | % Change |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|--|----------|
| Residential | \$14.3 M | \$16.2 M | 13% |
| Hotel/Motel/RV Park | \$0.32 M | \$0.37 M | 14% |
| Commercial | \$0.86 M | \$0.98 M | 14% |
| Industrial/Warehouse | \$0.17 M | \$0.21 M | 25% |
| Institutional | \$1.2 M | <u>\$1.5 M</u> | 22% |
| Total | \$16.9 M | \$19.3 M | 14% |



- Property Units
 - \odot Residential Units = 1.9\% increase
 - Hotel/Motel/RV Park = 1.8% decrease
 - Commercial = < 1% decrease</p>
 - Industrial/Warehouse = 1% increase
 - \odot Institutional = $\frac{1}{2}$ decrease



Calculated Rate Schedule (Maximum)

| Rate Category | Unit | Current Adopted | Maximum Calculated | % Change (2016-2017) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Residential | DU | \$175 | \$195 | 11% |
| Hotel/Motel/RV Park | Room or RV Space | \$48 | \$56 | 17% |
| Commercial (15k sf) | Building | \$2,280 | \$2,618 | 15% |
| Industrial/Warehouse (15k sf) | Building | \$331 | \$414 | 25% |
| Institutional (15k sf) | Building | \$4,259 | \$5,235 | 23% |



> Conclusions

- Stable Assessment Factor (% Non-ALS)
- Increase in Assessable Budget (14%)
- Slight change in incident/resource distribution
- Stable Property Units by Land Use
- Calculated maximum rates for all land uses
 - Highest increase in institutional & industrial
- Reduced assessment option > Staff Recommended



Cost Allocation (Staff Recommended Budget)

| Description | FY 2015 Assessable Budget | FY 2016 Assessable Budget | % Change |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|
| Residential | \$14.3 M | \$14.6 M | 2% |
| Hotel/Motel/RV Park | \$0.32 M | \$0.33 M | 2% |
| Commercial | \$0.86 M | \$0.88 M | 2% |
| Industrial/Warehouse | \$0.17 M | \$0.19 M | 12% |
| Institutional | <u>\$1.2 M</u> | <u>\$1.3 M</u> | 9% |
| Total | \$16.9 M | \$17.3 M | 2% |



Calculated Rate Schedule (Staff Recommended)

| Rate Category | Unit | Current Adopted | Calculated | % Change (2016-2017) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| Residential | DU | \$175 | \$175 | 0% |
| Hotel/Motel/RV Park | Room or RV Space | \$48 | \$50 | 4% |
| Commercial (15k sf) | Building | \$2,280 | \$2,347 | 3% |
| Industrial/Warehouse (15k sf) | Building | \$331 | \$371 | 12% |
| Institutional (15k sf) | Building | \$4,259 | \$4,694 | 10% |



Recommendations

- Minor changes in the budget and property units
- Slight change in incident/resource distribution
- No land use is being overcharged
- Maintain current adopted rates until the next annual update



Vacant Land Analysis

Vacant Land Analysis



- The Board requested that staff analyze the possibility of assessing vacant land
- There have been some recent changes concerning vacant land
 - Florida Supreme Court Ruling
 - Scott Morris vs. City of Cape Coral (5/7/2015)
 - Validates ability to assess developed and undeveloped property
 - House Bill 773 (2016 Legislative Session)
 - Exempts agricultural property from being assessed (effective11/1/17)

Vacant Land Analysis



- Staff recommends Fire Assessment workshop with the Board in early FY 2017 to review the feasibility of adding vacant land as a category for FY 2018
- Discussion of:
 - Impacts to future Fire Rescue funding
 - Impact to Litigation settlement
 - Impacts to vacant landholders
 - Evaluation of the volatility of the assessment due to changes in the land use categories



Staff Recommended Rates

Staff Recommended Rates



- To be conservative in previous years the Board has set the Fire Assessment rates at less than the maximum
 - Ensures funding is not used for ALS services
 - Avoids potential for legal challenges
 - MSTU funding is also available to fund the Fire Rescue budget

Staff Recommended Rates



Staff Analysis

- Concur with Tindale Oliver study
- Minor changes in the budget and property units
- Slight change in incident/resource distribution
- No land use is being overcharged
- Maintain current adopted rates until the next annual update
- Total Fire Assessment will generate \$17.3 million at the current adopted rates

Staff Recommended Rates FY 2017



Proposed rates same as FY 2016

| Rate Category | Unit | Proposed FY 2017 Rates |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| Residential | DU | \$175 |
| Hotel/Motel/RV Park | Room or RV Space | \$48 |
| Commercial (15k sf) | Building | \$2,280 |
| Industrial/Warehouse (15k sf) | Building | \$331 |
| Institutional (15k sf) | Building | \$4,259 |



Next Steps

Next Steps



- July 26th Initial Fire Assessment Resolution with updated assessment rates
- September 13th Public Hearing



Recommended Action

Recommended Action



 Accept the Tindale-Oliver & Associates, Inc. Fire Assessment Study